AVAGO FECHNOLOGIES, LTD. P.O. Box 1920 Denvot, Colorado 80201-1920

	IN THE UN	ITED STA	TES PATEN	AND TR	ADE	MARK	OF	FICE		
oventor(s):	Ban Kuan Koay									
Serial No.:	erial No.: 19/767,508			Examiner: Mansour M. Said						
Filing Date: January 28, 2004				Group Art Unit: 2629						
Title: Regul	sting a Light Source	in an Opti	cal Navigatio	n Device						
P.O. Box 145	NER FOR PATENTS 50 VA 22313-1450									
Sir:	THAM	SMITTAL	LETTER FOR	RESPONS	E/AN	ENDME	NT			
Transmitted I	herewith is/are the folks	sving in the	sbove-ident	fied applic	catio	n				
Respon	se/Amendment		(] Petit	on to	extend	ter	se to re	rspond	
Nove for	as calculated below	☐ Supplemental Declaration								
	tional fee (Address	proceione :	to their Stone							
****	itaria: jeis (madiesis	ditae.nhe	to was crop	- CONCERNATION		/ Pae S		,		
Other										*********
	CLAIMS (2)	AS AMEND	ED BY OTHER	HAN A SM	<u> ALL</u>	(5)	·····	(6)		73
POR	CLAIMS PEMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	NUMBER EXTRA	HIGHEST NUMBER		PRESENT		RATE		ADDITIONAL FEES	
TOTAL		MIRUS			4	0	8	50	\$	0
CLAIMS		MINUS			20	0	×	290	\$	0
BIDEP CLAIMS					*********		*****	000	•	٥
BNDEP CLAIMS	RESENTATION OF A MULT	WELE DEPEN	OENT CLAIM					2007	-	50
INDEP. CLAIMS		TPLE DEPEN Z ^{rot} WON 450.00	7H 3	8 MONTH 209 00 []		4 % N	ONT	¥:	5	0
BICKEP CLAIMS DERSTE EXTENSIO	130.00 D	2 ⁹⁵ MON 459.00	7H 3	229 00 🔲		1590	ON 1	j Fees	S	

arge onally cate copy of this transmittel letter is enclosed

Respectfully submitted,

	Sen Kuan Kosy Sy						
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being Deposited with the Unded States Postel Service as Fairt date with the Unded States Postel Service as Fairt date with an envelope addressed by Conventionions for Potentis, PO Box 1450. Averandra, VA 22313-1450	Thomas F. Woods Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)						
Date of Deposit:	Reg No 35,725						
Typed Name: "Tismes # Weads	Oate: May 31, 2007						
Signature.	Telephone No. (303) 823-6560						

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Ban Kuan Koay Examiner Name: Mansour M. Said

Serial No.: 10/767,508 Group Art Unit: 2629

Filed: January 28. Attorney Docket No.: 70030730-1

Confirmation No.: 5958

Title: Regulating a Light Source in an Optical Navigation Device

RESPONSE AND AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Arlington, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated March 8, 2007, applicants respectfully request entry of the amendments set forth herein, and allowance of the above-identified patent application:

DATE OF DEPOSIT: May 31, 2007

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC DEPOSIT: I hereby certify that all paper(s) described herein are being filled electronically with the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date indicated above and eddressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Signature Thank F. Jun-

Printed Name: Thomas F. Woods, Reg. No. 36,726

I. Amendments

A. In the Claims

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the application:

Listing of the Claims

Please cancel claim 18, and amend claims 10 and 19 as follows:

- (original) An optical navigation device comprising:

 an adjustable light source disposed to emit light onto a surface;
 a sensor disposed to receive light reflected from said surface, wherein light received by said sensor is used to measure movement of said optical navigation device relative to said surface;
- a light-sensitive device coupled to said light source, said light-sensitive device disposed to receive light from said light source to regulate light emitted from said light source according to a level of light received at said light-sensitive device.
- (original) The optical navigation device of Claim 1 wherein light received by said light-sensitive device is reflected from said surface.
- (original) The optical navigation device of Claim 1 wherein said light-sensitive device generates an output indicative of said level of light received by said light-sensitive device, wherein said light source is adjusted according to said output.

- (original) The optical navigation device of Claim 3 further comprising circuitry coupled to said light-sensitive device, said circuitry receiving said output and comparing said output to a threshold level, wherein said light source is adjusted according to a result of said comparing.
- (original) The optical navigation device of Claim 4 wherein said output from said light-sensitive device comprises an electrical current and wherein said threshold level comprises a threshold voltage, wherein said circuitry converts said electrical current into a voltage that is compared to said threshold voltage.
- (original) The optical navigation device of Claim 5 wherein said circuitry further comprises a selectable gain for application to said electrical current.
- (original) The optical navigation device of Claim 4 wherein said result of said comparing regulates a variable resistor coupled to said light source, said variable resistor regulating said light source.
- (original) The optical navigation device of Claim 1 wherein said light source comprises a light-emitting diode.
- (original) The optical navigation device of Claim 1 wherein said light-sensitive device comprises a photodiode.
- 10. (currently amended) An optical navigation method comprising: receiving <u>a</u> light at a sensor, said light emitted by a light source and reflected from a surface, wherein light received at said sensor is used to measure movement of an optical navigation device relative to said surface;

receiving light at a light-sensitive device, wherein light received by said light-sensitive device is also emitted by said light source; and

regulating said light source according to a level of light received at said light-sensitive device.

- (original) The optical navigation method of Claim 10 wherein light received at said light-sensitive device is reflected from said surface.
- (original) The optical navigation method of Claim 10 wherein said regulating further comprises:

generating a signal indicative of said level of light received at said lightsensitive device; and

adjusting said light source according to said signal.

13. (original) The optical navigation method of Claim 12 further comprising:

comparing said signal to a threshold level; and making an adjustment to said light source according to a result of said comparing.

14. (original) The optical navigation method of Claim 13 wherein said comparing further comprises:

converting said signal from an electrical current into a voltage; and comparing said voltage to a threshold voltage.

15. (original) The optical navigation method of Claim 14 wherein said converting further comprises:

amplifying said electrical current according to a selectable amplifier gain.

16. (original) The optical navigation method of Claim 13 wherein said making an adjustment further comprises:

regulating a variable resistor according to said result of said comparing, wherein said variable resistor regulates said light source.

 (original) The optical navigation method of Claim 10 wherein said light source comprises a light-emitting diode and said light-sensitive device comprises a photodiode.

- 18. (cancelled) An optical navigation device comprising:
- a light source that emits light onto a surface, wherein light reflected from said surface is used by said optical navigation device to detect movement relative to said surface; and

a light-regulating device coupled to said light source to measure light reflected from said surface so as to regulate light emitted from said light source.

(currently amended) An optical navigation device comprising:

 a light source that emits light onto a surface, wherein light reflected from said surface is used by said optical navigation device to detect movement relative to said surface; and

a light-regulating device coupled to said light source to measure light reflected from said surface so as to regulate light emitted from said light source, said light regulating device comprising The optical navigation device of Claim 18 wherein said light-regulating device comprises: a light-sensitive device to generate an output having a magnitude that corresponds to a level of light received by said light-sensitive device from said light source; and

an electronic circuit coupled to said light-sensitive device, said electronic circuit comparing said output to a threshold and generating an output signal that indicates whether or not said threshold is met.

- (original) The optical navigation device of Claim 19 further comprising:
- a variable resistor coupled between said electronic circuit and said light source, said variable resistor adjusted using said output signal, said variable resistor used for regulating a level of light emitted by said light source.
- (original) The optical navigation device of Claim 19 wherein said light source comprises a light-emitting diode and said light-sensitive device comprises a photodiode.

- 22. (original) The optical navigation device of Claim 19 wherein said output of said light-sensitive device comprises an electrical current and wherein said threshold comprises a threshold voltage, wherein said electrical current into a voltage for comparison to said threshold voltage.
- (original) The optical navigation device of Claim 22 wherein said electronic circuit further comprises a selectable gain applied to said electrical current, wherein said selectable gain is selected using a control signal.
- 24. (original) The optical navigation device of Claim 23 further comprising a multiplexer coupled between said electronic circuit and said variable resistors, said multiplexer multiplexing said output signal and said control signal, wherein said variable resistor is regulated using said multiplexed output and control signals.

II. Remarks

Support for the various amendments made to the claims herein may be found throughout the application as filed. Claims 10 and 19 are amended herein. Claim 18 is cancelled herein. Claims 1-17 and 19-24 remain pending.

A March 8, 2007 Office Action (hereafter "Office Action") rejected claims 101-8 and objected to claims 19-24. Claims 1-9 were indicted as being allowable in their originally submitted form.

The present Response and Amendment are submitted in response to the Office Action.

III. Rejections of, and Objections to, Claims Made in the Office Action

In the Office Action mailed March 8, 2007, the Examiner rejected, objected to and allowed claims on the following bases:

- (A) Claim 10 was rejected under the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C.
 Section 112 as being indefinite;
- (B) Claim 18 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. Section 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 7,168,047 to Huppi;
- (C) Claims 1-9 were allowed:
- (D) Claims 10-17 were indicated as being allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection of claim 10 described in (A) above; and
- (E) Claims 19-24 were indicated as being allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Each of the foregoing rejections, objections and allowances is responded to below, where each response references the letter corresponding to each rejection set forth above. U.S. Patent Appln. Ser. No. 10/767,508; Avago Technologies Attorney Docket No. 70030730-1; Woods Patent Law Docket No. P AVG 175

IV. Responses to Rejections Made in the Office Action

 (A) Claim 10 is amended herein to overcome the rejection made under the second paragraph of Section 112.

Claim 10 is amended herein to overcome the rejection made under the second paragraph of Section 112.

(B) Claim 18 is cancelled herein, rendering moot the rejection of such claim under Section 102.

Claim 18 is cancelled herein, rendering moot the rejection of such claim under Section 102.

(C) Claims 1-9 are allowed.

In the March 8 Office Action, claims 1-9 were allowed. Accordingly, claims 1-9 as originally submitted and recited hereinabove are in condition for issuance.

U.S. Patent Appln. Ser. No. 10/767,508; Avago Technologies Attorney Docket No. 70030730-1; Woods Patent Law Docket No. P AVG 175

(D) Claims 10 through 17 have been rewritten to render same allowable.

The Examiner indicated claims 10-17 would be allowable if claim 10 was amended in conformance with the requirements of the second paragraph of Section 112. Claim 10 has been so amended, as described in (A) above, and accordingly claims 10-17 are now in condition for allowance.

(E) Claims 19-24 are rewritten in independent form herein and are thus allowable

Per the Examiner's requirements, claims 19-24 have been rewritten in independent form, and thus are now allowable.

U.S. Patent Appln, Ser. No. 10/767,508; Avago Technologies Attorney Docket No. 70030730-1; Woods Patent Law Docket No. P AVG 175

V. Summary

Claims 1-17 and 19-24 remain pending herein and, as originally presented or as amended herein, are believed to be in condition for allowance. Examination of the application as amended is requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned by telephone or e-mail with any questions or comments he may have.

Respectfully submitted, Ban Kuan Koay By his attorney

Thomas F. Woods Registration No. 36,726

Date: My 31, 2 W7

Two- Ku

Woods Patent Law P.O. Box 2528 Lyons, Colorado 80540-2528 Tel: (303) 823-6560

Fax: (303) 823-6594

E-mail: tom@woodspatentlaw.com